Abstract

What is often absent in accounts of LGBTQ2 migration experiences are the roles of policies and legislations in the regulation of sexual and gender minoritized populations’ movements across borders. Specifically, many health policies can simultaneously impact on access to and uptake of health services and thereby influence health outcomes among older LGBTQ2 populations. In this paper we offer an analysis of the ways in which current policies and legislation within select European Union (EU) states can impact on freedom of mobility; recognition of same-sex partners and gender-identity in receipt of social benefits; social and labour market integration; language and cultural competency; anti-discrimination policies in health and care; healthy aging initiatives; and the mainstreaming of health policies versus targeted health intervention policies could potentially impact the flow of migration of older LGBTQ2 individuals between select Member States and outside of them. In the following synthesis, we argue the need to approach health policies from ‘strengths-based’ approaches within a life course framework in exploring the development and implementation of policies surrounding the healthy aging of older LGBTQ2 people; and to articulate how policies surrounding these issues could influence the migration of older LGBTQ2 individuals and their families. We argue that there is a need to develop both mainstream and targeted-intervention policies, and direct future research towards the assessment of the specific health needs and initiatives for aging LGBTQ2 populations both in Canada and in EU Member States with comparable health systems.
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Introduction

As older lesbian, gay, transgender, queer, intersex, and two-spirit (LGBTQ2) populations reaching retirement age (55+) consider moving from their country or jurisdiction of origin, equity in access to health promoting resources such as health care services, healthy aging programs and supportive retirement communities become critical determinants in shaping health decision-making and related health outcomes [1]. Health policies can, for example, simultaneously impact on access to health services and related health outcomes among older LGBTQ2 populations. This is an extremely important and yet largely overlooked health policy issue in advancing our understanding of health equity and access to health services in the context of Canada and select European Union (EU) countries with comparable health care systems. In other words, by examining how the various place-based determinants of health, including health policies in other jurisdictions or countries (e.g., community structures, legal regimes, social norms), support or inhibit health-promoting behaviours among older populations (55+), we can use this information to inform health policy ‘best practices’ to ensure healthy and successful aging among older LGBTQ2 populations in Canada. This approach is in keeping with the WHO [2] suggestion that both our health policy and health service approaches must do more than simply add years to life, rather, our efforts must be undertaken with an eye to animating the notion that ‘good health adds life to years’. The issues of equity and access to health services is particularly relevant to the policy landscape in Canada as we see growing numbers of retirement-aged (55+) individuals migrating to other jurisdictions in Canada and overseas, many of whom will experience the need for access to health services for chronic or episodic health conditions [3].

The European Union (EU) provides an illustrative context within which to examine the legal and political challenges and barriers that accompany the processes of transnational migration for older LGBTQ2 populations. Centered in the EU, many activists and NGOs such as ILGA (International Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans and Intersex Association) are attempting to use the European legal arena to obtain rights for, as well as challenge discriminatory practices within nation-states [4-7]. Several have noted the significant successes that have been accomplished in the legal arena at the level of European Union [7], such as the lifting of the ban in 2000 on lesbians and gays serving in the armed forces in the UK Lustig-Prean and Beckett v United Kingdom ([1999], 29 ECHR 548.); Smith and Grady v UK ([1999], 29 ECHR 493), decriminalisation of homosexuality in Northern Ireland Dudgeon v. UK ([1981], 4 ECHR 149) and arguments for the equal age of consent for gay men, Sutherland v United Kingdom ([1998], 24 ECHR 117).

In this synthesis of various policy documents (agreements, directives, regulations, decisions) from the European Union Commission, WHO, Migration Policy Institute Europe, as well as the subsequent reports produced by these institutions discussing the implementation and strategic directions of such policies, it is demonstrated that there are several factors that influence the health and care of older, migrating LGBTQ2 individuals in the EU. These factors include: freedom of mobility and recognition of sexual and gender minorities in legislature concerning citizenship and social benefits; social and labour market integration; language and cultural competency; anti-discrimination policies at the institutional level; healthy aging initiatives in Member States; and the mainstreaming of health policies versus targeted health intervention policies. In the following scoping review, we attempt to demonstrate the need for a ‘strengths-based’ approach to both the development and implementation of policies surrounding healthy aging among older LGBTQ2 people; and to articulate how policies surrounding these issues could influence the migration of older LGBTQ2 individuals and their health outcomes.
The life course approach to understanding health outcomes has increasingly been acknowledged as a fundamental determinant of health [8], one which recognizes how age, gender, socio-economic status (SES), and life events coalesce to shape health outcomes. In short, the life course paradigm allows us to focus on the interaction of demographic, social-structural, and cultural factors that shape family patterns and generational relations [9]. For older LGBTQ2 individuals, life course trajectories may differ significantly from established stage-sequential models that centralize life events such as marriage and raising children [10]. The stigma that continues to be associated with sexual and gender minoritized identities in many contexts often renders the disclosure of these identities a potentially traumatic or disruptive process. Consequently, many life events and transitions, such as the initiation of romantic relationships and the formation of knowledge about sexual health may be delayed. By the same token, many LGBTQ2 individuals may not come out until later in life, and may also find that they continue to undergo processes of disclosure about their sexuality as they encounter new contexts, including work, school, community, or health care settings. Older LGBTQ2 individuals may therefore encounter a number of unique health challenges as they age. These may include finding LGBTQ2-positive physicians, counselors, and pharmacists, treating or dealing with conditions associated with experiences of stigma or discrimination (e.g. anxiety, depression), and sometimes negotiating the aging process without the support of family members or long-term partners [11, 12].

These considerations may serve to positively influence or terminate decisions related to migration. Older LGBTQ2 populations moving to new cities, provinces, or countries later in life (e.g., after retirement) may not have the same social supports associated with the “working world” and—as to some extent—may not be welcomed in the same manner as more “economically productive” members of society. For older LGBTQ2 individuals, moving to a new place also involves the stress of coming out again in a variety of new contexts. Some, older LGBTQ2 individuals might migrate to live in particular places that can promote their healthy and successful aging. These places may include historic gay and lesbian neighborhoods and communities, enclaves or regions known to be more liberal, or—particularly important for this project—places with health care services and policies that acknowledge the contingencies of both age and sexuality [13].

What is often absent in the accounts of LGBTQ2 migration experiences are the roles of policies and legislations in the regulation of sexual and gender minoritized populations’ movement across borders. Our focus for this paper is to explore the extent to which policies in select EU states have been used to promote the healthy and successful aging of LGBTQ2 populations, and particularly whether they (1) appear to result in the migration of older LGBTQ2 individuals toward particular places and (2) address the needs of newly arrived individuals who may be unfamiliar with local health care systems, institutions, and social and cultural norms—especially those related to sexuality and sexual identity. We argue that the interconnected influences of life course, gender identity, sexual identity, and migration pose distinct challenges for promoting access to health services and overall health equity.

Methods and approach

Several areas of concern are relevant to the current project, and have been illuminated by previously conducted, extensive literature reviews surrounding the health, social care, and housing needs of LGBTQ2 older people: isolation, health behaviours, mental health, and sexual health behaviours [14]. The literature indicates that the health, social care, and housing needs of LGBTQ2 populations are influenced by various forms of discrimination which may impact upon the provision of, access to, and
use of health, social care, and housing services [14, 15]. These forms of discrimination can exist at both the informational (e.g. lack of cultural competence; lack knowledge of health risks and interventions for aging LGBTQ2 peoples, etc.) and institutional (e.g. lack of anti-discrimination safeguards, etc.) levels. Informational erasure encompasses the lack of knowledge regarding LGBTQ2 persons, and the assumption that such knowledge does not exist [16]. Institutional erasure involves a lack of policies that accommodate LGBTQ2 persons, and the questioning of whether such policies are necessary despite evidence to the contrary [16]. For example, research demonstrates that many older adults are sexually active, and sexual problems are frequent among older adults—but these problems are infrequently discussed with physicians, which contributes to the erasure—the invisibility—of LGBTQ2 peoples in policy initiatives [17]. This is particularly relevant for older LGBTQ2 people.

The following scoping review of health policy was structured around the evidence presented by previous literature, and documents were collected in three primary areas: 1) migration and social integration; 2) healthy aging; and 3) anti-discrimination. Policy documents (directives, regulations, agreements, decisions and legal rulings, etc.), briefs, reports, and studies were compiled using a funnel method—first collected and grouped based on the key word commonalities. This primary collection (169 documents, including mainly documents from the EU Commission, the Migration Policy Institute, and the WHO), was then indexed and analyzed for key words relating to the health of specifically aging individuals and migration, and LGBT individuals and migrations.

Synthesis
In 1992, the Maastricht Treaty extended EU citizenship to all Member State nationals: ‘Every citizen holding nationality of a member state shall be a citizen of the Union’ (Art. 8(1) EC). Union citizenship entitles free movement within all Member States (Art. 20.20 EC). Though the guarantee of free movement for economic purposes pre-dates this treaty, the recent attachment of this right to the Article on citizenship is significant. Paradoxical effects of ‘European Unity’ have been observed regarding the integration of racialized minorities in several instances [18-23], and it follows that the issues are similar for those of sexual and gender minority status (i.e. LGBTQ2).

To elaborate, the EU Freedom Movement Directive (May 2006) includes same-sex couples in the definition of the family [24]. The Directive ‘on the right to citizens of the Union and their family members to move and reside freely within the territory of the Member States’ includes provisions that are applicable to same-sex couples [24]. Notably, one such provision is that countries that do not recognize same-sex relationships should ‘facilitate’ entry to lesbian and gay couples in a ‘durable’ relationship [25]. However, as noted by the UK Lesbian Gay Immigration Group (UKLGIG), the directive is only a ‘partial victory’ as the ‘right is not given’ and therefore there is no transparent obligation on the state’s behalf; thus, it relies on national legislation regarding partnership rights [24]. The effectiveness of the Directive has yet to be thoroughly assessed, however currently the progression towards materializing the inclusion of same-sex couples in definitions of the family and spousal rights are evidently at the discretion of individual European states [7, 25, 26].

The historically situated context in which the EU is perceived to be an economic marketplace can lead to migration policies that are skewed towards the ‘primary’ migration of labour workers (Art. 19, EC) which suggest family reunion rights remain of secondary concern in policy debates [5, 27]. It is apparent that migration policies and regulations are shaped by economic imperatives and discourses concerned with increasing global competitiveness, most notably those that attempt to attract skilled migrants that can fulfill labour shortages [28-40].
As notably stated ‘...mobility is constrained from the outset by its central relationship to consumption and class, which are all too frequently closely connected to race and gender’ [41]. Therefore, in examining the policies that acknowledge same-sex couples for the purposes of immigration, it is apparent that the policies construct an ideal migrant based on the borders of sexuality, gender, race and class. Firstly, as in the case of UK and Australia [41, 42] family reunion provision for same-sex couples is based on a ‘marriage like’ model that situates the emphasis on financial responsibility and ‘long-standing’ relationships. Demonstrated by the 1997 unmarried partners’ rule in the UK, these criteria (that require proof and evidence of years of cohabitation) can be difficult to meet for couples who are unable to live together in one country. In this respect, these structural barriers reproduce a heteronormative model of unity that reinforces ‘marriage’ as the fundamental definitive of a legitimate relationship.

Furthermore, by emphasizing the financial aspect of shared responsibility, gay men and lesbian women with ‘marketable skills’ have a greater opportunity to actualize their transnational citizenship than do others [5].

At the supranational level, EU institutions play a role by supporting and influencing Member States’ efforts through core funding, exchange of information, and coordination. The European Social charter first and foremost stresses the importance of rights surrounding independence (Art. 15; 16; 19) and social integration (see Art. 12; 13; 15) [43]. Part of the development of social integration includes fostering the development of a national identity for newcomers [21, 23, 29, 33, 44-52]. National identity is a product of not only individual feelings of belonging and attachment, but it is also impacted by external perceptions of identity [23]. Assimilationist requirements create more stigmatization of minority populations and undermine integration prospects [51]. The key parameter for integration has been found to be the willingness of the majority to accept ‘newcomers’ into the threads of society [21], though much focus has been on social, labour and educational integration [31, 38, 39, 45, 46, 49]. Thus, having a pre-established community with which to integrate could primarily factor into the decisions that older LGBTQ2 individuals make with regards to migration [44, 50, 53].

Other primary factors that have been cited as barriers to upward social mobility and to social integration are: insufficient language skills and; a lack of recognized qualifications; a lack of cultural competence [20, 29, 44, 46, 47, 53]. Federal, state, and local governments in Member States have introduced a variety of services to improve the integration of immigrants in differing institutional settings, including counseling, vocational training, and language instruction. These services are delivered both through targeted interventions designed for immigrants, and through mainstream institutions such as healthcare systems, educational systems, and public employment services. The dilemma faced by liberal states is to negotiate the balance between policies that are aggressive enough to encourage social-cohesion (their purported intent), at the same time they must be restrained enough to respect the moral autonomy of immigrants. This becomes particularly difficult when it comes to regulating sensitive identity issues, and has direct implications for older LGBTQ2 individuals.

Individual Member State governments have increasingly turned to the strategy of ‘mainstreaming’ integration; loosely defined, as the effort to reach specific groups of people (e.g. migrants, minorities, etc.) through social programming and policies that also target the general population [31, 44-46, 48, 49, 53]. Though it has its detractors [54-56], who argue that in studying the comparative implementation of gender mainstreaming, the central problem of operationalizing and measuring these processes are encountered; however, this effort serves as an attempt to address the areas where, in the past, immigrant integration policy has fallen short. The
'mainstreaming' approach has been used to develop policies relevant to this scoping review; namely, those surrounding healthy aging [57–60] and gender mainstreaming [61]. For example, the UNCE initiative on healthy aging [2, 35, 62–64] attempts to streamline aging policies from various political domains, such as labour market participation, social inclusion, as well as health. Health policies that incorporate active and health promotion-focused aging across the life course concern preventive health measures and community care settings. This perspective emphasizes an intergenerational (considering the effects of a policy on various age-groups), a life course (considering future potential impacts of a policy on individual living circumstances), and a gender approach (considering gender differences in the effects of policies), which seeks to adequately address the complex demographic phenomenon of population aging. Several Member States and some cross-country collaborations included the aspects of sexual- and gender-identity mainstreaming into their work programmes1. Examples of such initiatives include [57]:

- The Active Ageing Index, a research collaboration between the EC and UNECE, which included a data breakdown by ‘gender’;
- The Arctic Change and Elderly Exclusion: A gender-based perspective. A research project addressing elderly people’s concerns in the Arctic with a special focus on the concept of exclusion (SE, FI, NO, UK, EE);
- The third edition of the French Active Ageing Awards, which partnered up with a Czech organization on Gender Studies (FR, CZ, PL, FI);
- Brochure for health-professionals treating patients with dementia, including a person-centred and gender sensitive communication approach (AT);
- The Dementia Engagement & Empowerment Project focused on capacity building, providing resources and conducting research related to dementia, including the experience of the LGBTQ2 community (UK);
- The LGBTQ2 aging project aiming to ‘open the door to dialogue’ and bringing together the most relevant stakeholders and supporting several cultural activities (FR);
- PINK 50+ focused on raising awareness amongst organisations and individuals providing care for the elderly and how to be sensitive towards the LGBTQ2 elderly community (NL);
- The Project Trio connecting elderly women to families with children under the age of 15 to encourage ‘surrogate grandmother’ relationships and further intergenerational solidarity and reduce social exclusion (CZ).

Taking a strengths-based approach, the above programmes demonstrate areas where such mainstreamed models can be assessed for their strengths, and then used to inform policy developments in Canada and elsewhere aimed at promoting the healthy aging of LGBTQ2 individuals in all facets of life (i.e. social, emotional, physical, and sexual, migration, etc.).

Discussion and conclusion

Policy objectives and their intended outcomes are inevitably altered by the evolution of immigration patterns; demographic, economic and geopolitical circumstances; and the (real or perceived) social impacts of, and cultural reactions to, immigration [65]. Subsequently, flexibility, adaptation, the ability to learn from domestic and international experiences, and efforts to address concerns about the impacts of immigration form the basis of effective and effi-

---

1. There are conceptual issues with the conflation of sex and gender categories in program development, which can be of particular significance to trans*—transgender, transsexual, two-spirit, and otherwise non-binary—individuals.
ciently functioning immigration systems. From the perspectives of both EU integration and human rights, migrant health and access to health care are important elements of national health policy.

Developing healthy aging policies that adequately target the health needs of older LGBTQ2 migrants is not a simple task. Measurement can be challenging for a variety of reasons (technical, political, economic, etc.). The data that are available depict a certain complexity; the health of migrants and access issues vary across space, time, life course, gender, across different countries of origin and type of migration. Disease specific mortality rates for certain health-related conditions are reportedly higher for immigrants [51]. The possible reasons for inequities in health care use between migrants and autochthonous populations are complicated. Data from some countries indicate that utilization of health services among migrants tends to be relatively low, with a greater reliance on emergency services [30, 33, 51, 66]. Accessibility barriers are linked to education, cultural differences, language difficulties, lack of complimentary voluntary health insurance and legal issues. The other major issues are: health benefits for same-sex partners, gender and sexual minoritized populations, including trans populations; quality of and access to health care and whether it is lower for migrants. Notably, research has demonstrated that older LGBTQ2 individuals have higher rates of several serious chronic physical and mental health conditions compared to similar heterosexual adults [67]. Additionally, aging LGBTQ2 adults generally have higher levels of mental health services use and lesbian/bisexual women report significant delays in accessing needed health and social care [67]. These data indicate a need for general health care and aging services to develop programs targeted to the specific needs of aging LGBTQ2 adults.

An aging population presents challenges for all societies and economies, depending on their response and adaptation to these changing demographic conditions. There are many opportunities for learning across countries. In some countries (notably France), social analyses by ethnic origin are not routinely conducted for cultural and administrative reasons [51]. In other states (i.e. Spain, Germany, Ireland), migrant health policy has only recently begun to be proactively developed. Furthermore, in others (Netherlands, UK, Sweden), policy regarding the health of migrants is already relatively developed. The EU could contribute significantly to the facilitation of the development and transfer of evidence and information on migrant health policy.

Shifting to measuring positive elements of good health across the life course will require more nuanced data which include agreed upon indicators of successful and/or health aging in order to gain the perspectives of the aging LGBTQ2 population, as well as health care practitioners and policy makers. In addition, the incorporation of qualitative data surrounding perceptions of health and health care accessibility from these different perspectives can inform the ways by which we measure health outcomes and shape the definitions of what we know to be ‘healthy aging’, in a manner that puts forth the concerns and interests of all parties involved. Topics identified as theoretically central to the health of older migrating LGBTQ2 populations that are currently under-researched include: methodological problems of migrant health research; psycho-social health; sexuality, reproduction and family life; access of illegal/undocumented migrants to health services; user involvement in the design and provision of services; ‘linkages’ between sender countries and receiver countries; preserving the health ‘advantage’ of some newly arrived migrants; analysis approaches to preventing and controlling TB and HIV/AIDS among migrants; multi-sectorial policy; and sharing of knowledge and data, and the improvement of data collection [51].

The intended beneficiaries of integration policy (immigrants and their families of choice) are no longer a discrete and easily identifiable population.
Presently, public budgets are strict; governments are strategizing about new ways to ensure that the needs of all vulnerable groups are met more effectively through mainstream policy. This analysis, however, has highlighted the importance of developing both mainstream and targeted-intervention policies only after health inequities for specific populations (namely, aging LGBTQ2 populations) have been defined, quantified and assessed. We argue that countries can learn from the initiatives addressed here and elsewhere, by assessing the strengths of such programs, and adapting them to suit differing social contexts. In short, migration still remains an important site for LGBTQ2 activists and policy makers who are concerned with healthy aging within their communities.
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